

Constant Contact Survey Results

Survey Name: Comprehensive Plan-Recreation

Response Status: Partial & Completed

Filter: None

Feb 25, 2021 10:39:40 AM

4. What type of access would you like to see at the Tarn? Ex: gated, restricted entry, year round access/maintenance. List all options.. -

| | Answer |
|--|---|
| | gated for blue river residents |
| | Plow the parking in winter so we can ice skate. |
| | Gated |
| | gated or restricted entry. |
| | restricted entry |
| | A pavilion at the tarn would be a fantastic amenity |
| | It got crazy busy at times this summer. So some way of making sure the people that live here are the bones using it. A gate might be nice. |
| | Restricted entry, year round access |
| | Unrestricted access |
| | restricted entry, year round access/maintenance |
| | If it's truly only for residents, some way of verifying that or enforcing it. I was there a lot this summer and never once saw anyone checking to be sure people had permits or were registered. I paid for a boat permit, but was never checked and new that others were out there without permits. Make it consistent. |
| | Restricted to residents. |
| | Gated would be great! |
| | Year round access would be great! |
| | Better signage prior to pulling down into the tarn parking. That way tourist are aware of the policy before driving in. |
| | I assume we'd have to limit use to keep it from becoming a madhouse like the Spruce Creek trail. Gated w/ a passcode might work if not too expensive. Otherwise, I think the current signs are fairly effective so long as they are enforced from time to time. I also don't think we need year-round parking available. Leave it to the moose when the snows are too deep to park. |
| | Whatever it takes to reduce the number of unauthorized users without making access difficult for residents. |
| | "Blue River Resident Only" Restriction (Car sticker) Restricted Entry (Car sticker for homeowners only) Leashed dogs Parking as it is currently but with an exit on the opposite end and with a one way traffic flow Keep the area as natural as possible with limited signage. |
| | Restricted entry |
| | Ease of use by residents with out opening to unlimited access from non residents. Moderate policing to enforce allowed usage without locked gates or other barriers. It should be a place residents can enjoy and not feel harassed. More like a park atmosphere. Not an easy job. I wish you well |
| | Gated from both ends for homeowners only on hwy 9 Year round access and the ability to offer ice skating in the Winter would be great. |
| | Gated |
| | Maybe a parking pass for residents so it can be more monitored. |
| | year round would be nice...a gate would be difficult to enforce and my feeling is it would be vandalized and broken more often than not. Regular patrols with every resident using it showing ownership. |
| | Year round access Ability for renter access - perhaps with some sort of safeguards/ID and age requirements/fee-based, etc. |
| | Parking spaces for residents from the northwest corner of the tarn in that neighborhood - cutting into that open spaces a little. |
| | Perhaps Game Warden patrol of the tarn to confirm only homeowners are using the tarn. Would like to see year round access. Pulling off of 9 to park creates a nuisance, even is dangerous. If a simple means of restricting access, that would be fine. |
| | Gated with code issued to residents that changes each year. I would like to see year round access for the use of a walking trail. |
| | Same as we have now; resident only |

| | |
|--|---|
| | Gated or restricted to residents with year round access. |
| | Restricted entry with year round maintenance. Would be nice to have parking lot plowed and get a pond hockey rink shoveled out with volunteers maintaining it. |
| | gated of some kind would be great! |
| | Restricted entry |
| | Gated. Residence hold key cards to parking lot. |
| | Gated would be nice if you really want to keep access to residents. That doesn't currently happen with the sticker system. |
| | Improved access from Hwy 9. The current drive is steep and uneven. Pulling out onto Hwy is scary. |
| | Year round access would be nice, but for what? Ice fishing! Maybe if the trail was there or an ice skating pond was an option. |
| | A Sandy beach on the Hwy side would be nice. |
| | Year round but restricted to residents |
| | No gates! But, need some type of restricted entry or better enforcement |
| | I'm fine with the current open access with periodic checks for passes. If that's more of a problem than I realize, then some sort of gated/restricted entry is fine. I don't use the Tarn in the winter, so year-round access is not a pressing priority for me. |
| | More parking is needed at the highway 9 pull-off even with present activity there for folks to get their boats and paddleboards in the water and leave their cars while they recreate. A gate would cause unsafe conditions on highway 9 unless a pull-off lane is built. Only TBR residents should be allowed and year-round access is not warranted. A structure for kayak and canoe storage is definitely preferable to watercraft scattered on the banks and in the willows. There is no way that a trail should be constructed through the frontage property of homes on the Tarn and crossing the dam could create a threat to the Breckenridge water supply as well as a safety issue. The bird sanctuary at the south end of the Tarn could have raised wooden paths built, much like similar habitat (ie. Corkscrew Swamp Sanctuary in FL, Potter Marsh or Katmai National Park in AK). As you are aware, the heavy willow growth in that area make it a prime moose hang-out. AND TBR owns that land. |
| | gated access year round access general site amenities, bathroom, trash, dog bags gated would be fine |
| | Resident only solar powered card access gate |
| | OK with summer access with permits and police enforcement |
| | I enjoy the tarn in summer & winter, so I think winter access to parking lot would be nice. In general, I feel the pathway around the tarn isn't necessary, and it would be difficult to create/maintain. I'm somebody who transports my canoe & kayak to/from and I find it manageable, and a storage facility could get cluttered fast. I think a gazebo or subtle picnic/shelter area perhaps in the southwest corner, near the parking lot would be nice - residents could use it or perhaps rent it for private gatherings. A gate/code access would certainly mitigate the non-residents to the parking lot - or even better signage at a minimum. |
| | Year round |
| | Honestly we don't use the tarn because of the constant presence of big groups of tourists (or short term renters but I think they just pull off of 9). We love to use it more, so would be proponents of any measures to restrict access to our community, i.e. putting up a private property sign at the entrance and permit parking you will be towed at the lot itself, or something along those lines. |
| | Year Round Acmes Restricted Entry |
| | Careful development, limiting strictly to homeowners/residents. The problem could be rentals if there are alot of large groups renting. I would favor restricted entry, with options for parking that are easily accessible. Year Round Maintenance could be very costly, but would be ideal. |
| | Year round access. |
| | It appears to be a tourist hot spot with little restriction or policing of residency. Gate with "code" could be a solution. |
| | Year round, but restricted so that it does not have to be policed as much.....gate with changing code. |
| | A gated, restricted entry that would identify the user, together with a sticker for the car identifying town residents and not their short term rental occupants. No common pass code that can be shared. The parking we have is sufficient to support the use by town residents. It's not sufficient to support the general public. |

| | |
|--|--|
| | restricted but not gated |
| | Limited access , parking by Hwy 9. No pathr around tarn. |
| | Keep it the same |
| | Restricted entry It seems there will be more and more folks using the area Somewhat torn about developing that will require supervision But with the dam work and down time for water now is the time to plan. Would a trail around Tarn be used on winter? Nordic/snowshoe use? I would hope that the homeowners and their property would be considered (we arenâ€t one). How does Spruce Valley handle their boat storage? Boat storage only in â€hammer â€ Financing all this? |
| | restricted entry. |
| | I would like more open access to long term residents and short term rentals. Everyone staying in Blue River should have access to the Tarn and trails. Timber Creek Estates needs to establish access to the national park trails for all residents in the neighborhood. |
| | easier access trail from blue river road picnic area |
| | Year round. No gate. well signed as private residents only. occasionally patrolled weekly/weekends or whatever is enough. |
| | My concern about the path "around the tarn" as stated is that it will negatively impact private property on the north side. There already is trash in the existing parking lot and on the shore and discarded fishing gear around the lake that the neighborhood picks up. Difficult to see how "around the tarn" would work while protecting the rights of the existing property owners. There is a nice social trail that runs from the lot south and east into the wetlands that might be a candidate for improvement. This social trail might be extended to the south to access fishing spots. |
| | Year round access and maintenance would be great provided for residents only. |
| | Restricted entry |
| | Maintained ice rink in winter Improved picnic areas |
| | The current situation seems to work |
| | Restricted entry, no vehicles |
| | gated with residents having code |
| | winter access less important |
| | gated property since alot of non residents use it |
| | Gated would be great. |
| | Entry has to be restricted to residents as it is now. Having worked at the Breckenridge Tourism Office, I know that the visitor's center will immediately start sending tourists to walk around the Tarn if it is opened to the general public. The Tarn, much more obvious than our trailheads will quickly become overrun (like the trailheads). I personally do not see the need for year-round access and especially not year-round parking. A gate seems unnecessary to deter non-residents. A reasonable amount of enforcement under the current scenario seems to work. Clearer signage at the entry might help. "PRIVATE: Access for Blue River Residents Only" Restricted use for Blue River residents and their guests only. |
| | Restricted entry. |
| | Ice skating and pond hockey in winter |
| | Restricted or Gated to regulate non resident use while continuing to permit all Blue River Residents access year round |
| | Gated / maintenance |
| | Leave as is |
| | Gated entrance with a code Stock with fish |
| | More than goose droppings |
| | We love using the Tarn! We are excited for all improvements, but we do love how it is restricted to Blue River residents. We do appreciate our local police force patrolling the area frequently and protecting our community rights. Thank you to the council for all of your hard work. |
| | Given the use of the tarn that I have seen over the years, I've never seen it such that people don't have room to park and do their thing. Whatever you do, if you do anything at all, keep it simple and unobtrusive. |
| | gated, year-round access |
| | Gated parking, with picnic tables for residents to utilize. |

| | |
|--|---|
| | Gated or controlled access Year round access Pavilion and boat storage Bathrooms! |
| | Gated or some form of restriction to Blue River full time residents since police monitoring is not possible at busy times. |
| | Year round |
| | Parking where you don't get a ticket, like we did last week at the end of Blue River Road (no signage at a trailhead) |
| | GATED! (or restricted entry). Buffer between the highway and parking area (trees). Limited boat storage. Don't mind the summer only access. A path around the lake to walk would be great but we know there is a lot of private property. |
| | I would like year round access that is restricted to residents only. |
| | year round access to Blue River residents. if gated would like some type of device in car to open like a garage door. |
| | Only residents have entry to use of the tarn. |
| | Restricted entry Year round maintenance Cross country trails |
| | Year round access |
| | Public access for all...not just residents. |
| | I think the current access is fine. |
| | Restricted as it is now |
| | Restricted entry |
| | Year round access with today's policing of participants |
| | Year round maintenance would be nice. |
| | Restricted entry to Blue River residents only. |
| | Just some improvements to the parking area and the trail. It doesn't need to be over-improved, just more functional. Sometimes in the summer too much parking is taken up by non-residents. |
| | any path around the tarn would quickly become popular with visitors to Summit county and non-residents of Blue River |
| | Keep private with access limited off Hwy 9 |
| | Restricted Entry - with more monitoring focused on resident and guest usage. |
| | Gated, year round access/maintenance, sandy beach like Lake Dillon and a few picnic tables with shade. Storage for a cost |
| | A gate with a swipe card pad that records the card owner/residents info, time log, etc. Signage that restates usage rules and regs, and permanent removal of privileges of all household members if these are disregarded like driving their truck through the wetlands shore. |
| | gated restricted entry Great to keep it to Blue River residents |
| | Restricted Access |
| | allow residents for access all the time and sell 10 to 15 day passes to public to pay for a portable bathroom and other amenities at the tarn |
| | Restricted entry |
| | Keep it the way it is. |
| | There would need to be limited access. Parking at trail heads has become congested to the point that you nearly have a hike to get to the hike. A trail around the Tarn would be a major attraction but very disruptive to the home owners who front to lake. Restricted year round access. Maybe a gate with a code or pass. |
| | Block off access from Highway 9 and create a different access/parking area that does not come from Hwy 9. Limit parking to Town Residents and accompanied guests ONLY. Implement and enforce a parking pass system. No winter use. The winter recreation opportunities at the Tarn do not justify the cost to the Town to maintain a parking area for year-round use. Incorporate appropriate enforcement signage to educate and inform unauthorized users. |
| | Year round access. Purchase of rear view mirror lanyard. If you don't have one you get a ticket. Plow the Tarn so people can ice fish. |
| | Gated, restricted entry with year round maintenance |
| | year around parking / access by some form of identification |
| | We have access today |
| | year round access/maintenance |
| | enforcement of resident-only usage or some sort of controlled access that does not require local law enforcement personnel. |
| | Gated with card access |
| | Posted sign residents only |
| | We use our canoe on the Tarn during the summer. I'm not an advocate of all the issues with having storage on/by the tarn. |

| | |
|--|---|
| | Year round access Restricted entry/gate |
| | Year round access / Maint. |
| | 1. I would support gated access. 2. If possible, I'd like to see snow plowed from a part of the Tarn to allow ice skating. |
| | Restrict access to residents and their personal guests. Would not like to see a gate, but signage that it is for residents only. Perhaps even on Rte 9 before people drive down there and have to figure out they need to leave |
| | Restricted entry |
| | If there was a gate, every family could have their own code. Codes could be deleted if someone abuses the system, i.e. track how many times a code has been used per day and flag if more than 5. If no gate, parking passes work well if enforced. Whichever costs less to maintain. |
| | restricted |
| | restricted entry for sure more policing of area i find that there are fishing guides guiding on the tarn as well |
| | No additional access than what is currently available. |
| | None!! |
| | restricted entry |
| | I would like for family and guests at my home be able to access the Tarn when they are staying at my property. |
| | Just signage that explicitly restricts access to Blue River property owners only. Basically what is already being done. |
| | Restricted access |
| | Keep access as currently is. I think there is a chance to spoil the wildlife and beauty of the Tarn if it is changed. |
| | None |
| | restricted entry |
| | Restricted entry. Gated |
| | Nothing additional. |
| | Enforced Restricted entry |
| | restricted |
| | What is there now is adequate and appropriate. |
| | Year round access. |
| | Access is fine as long as Blue River controls the out-of-Townes. |
| | Gated access for residents. Maintenance only in non-winter months. |
| | Restricted access especially with the Covid |
| | Restricted Entry, or Gated would be ok. I'd like to be a part of any discussions |
| | Gated and restricted |
| | Gated for TOBR is good, trail access around the tarn is not a good idea. It will cross the doughnut created by the Theobolds and private citizens in Spruce Valley Ranch. Boat permits are good. Blue River residents only, although Breckenridge is draining the tarn twice!! |
| | None |
| | Nothing other than upkeep of what is already there |
| | Property owners spent a lot of money to have the exclusivity and privacy of the Tarn preserved and protected. I would not support increasing traffic around our private property. |
| | Restricted access exactly as it is currently. |
| | Restricted entrance, possibly gated. A pass per household so that any watercraft could be used by household member rather than charging for each boat, paddleboard, etc. |
| | We do not want additional access to the tarn because it will greatly affect wildlife. |
| | Restricted entry. No more entry. We do not want additional access to the tarn because it harms wildlife. |
| | Gated with restricted access would be great. |
| | Some sort of visually benign restricted access would be nice. The current parking lot and access is an eye sore. What makes the Tarn great is its natural feel. If residents are seeking a manicured and commercialized nature experience, I suggest they use Dillon reservoir. |
| | Access only to Blue River residents/owners. Perhaps have stickers showing eligibility or a lift gate with a code. |
| | restricted entry--too many non residents |
| | small dock for canoe/paddleboard launching |
| | Gated and restricted year round access to residents only. |
| | Entry restricted to residents only. I suggest a gate, only because skiers and summer visitors will quickly fill any permanent parking spaces. |
| | restricted access |
| | there is limited space/parking along the Tarn via Hwy 9 and would hate to see any development to increase impact on the habitat - the Tarn is a unique habitat for wildlife and do not want to see its use expanded or commercialized |

| | |
|--|---|
| | Year round access & maintenance. Love to see trail connectivity to snow shoe, fat bike during the winter and mountain bike/hike in the summer. |
| | Restricted entry |
| | I feel the current access and use is sufficient for resident use and serves to limit damage to the natural environment from recreational use. The only change I would like to see is the addition of a concrete boat ramp on the Highway 9 side. |
| | Gated or restricted entry so that it is only residents using the Tarn. Year round access/maintenance would be great! |
| | Restricted entry |
| | none |
| | Gated would help ease the need for law enforcement to patrol and allow them to attend to the needs of the town. I would be in favor of gated access if was kept secure so that non-residents would not be able to find a way in. Perhaps an entry card that you can swipe that is renewed annually. Also it would be nice to have a dock in order to launch boats instead of from the shore, or to tie up. It can get crowded and will allow more access. Parking can be difficult too at times, especially when there are a lot of trailers. Better access to parking would be nice but not sure how that can get accomplished. Perhaps adding parking up along the highway to the north side, but that would encourage non-residents to use. Having a boat storage would reduce the trailers. With the draining of the Tarn for the next couple of years it would be a great opportunity to expand the beach and/or enhance parking. |
| | Gated and restricted |
| | Restricted access, but available to short term renters (justified by taxes paid to BRiver) |
| | 1. Gated, restricted entry for Town residents only. 2. Yr. round maintenance / access for Town residents only allowing for ice fishing and ice skating during the winter months. |
| | Gated and/or restricted entry |
| | Restricted |
| | Not sure, but some sort of entrance would be nice. |
| | Keep as is. |
| | Gated or restricted would be my preference- I have been there a number of times when tourists were there. I don't support winter access or parking that needs to be maintained during the winter. |
| | None. |
| | Locked Paddle board storage, winter access |
| | As a recent purchaser of a property at SVR, the cost of the property factored in the private access we have to the tarn. Fishing is a passion for me and I fish sustainably through catch and release. I have witnessed non SVR residents removing trophy fish from the tarn (whilst legal, very frustrating), have found discarded fishing line and hooks on the banks and enjoy beer cans. This will only get worse with greater access to this pristine area. Leave it alone please. |
| | Gated/limited access for residents. It would provide a relatively flat trail/path to be used by residents- cross country skiing use |
| | absolutely none - leave it as it is.. |
| | Gated with code or some way to keep it for homeowners only. |
| | I think a gate and restricted access would be nice. I know its hard for it to be constantly policed. Maybe if we provide an area for those not aware that it is private to turn around, maybe take a quick pick and leave... and then provide the gate to access the full parking lot and access to the tarn and trails and what not. |
| | Some system to limit access to residents |
| | Restricted entry to residents of Blue River. |
| | A paved road versus the dirt and very bumpy only access point by car. |
| | Leave as it is. |
| | Year round access |
| | I recommend no further access. There is enough foot and bicycle traffic near the Tarn and around the neighborhood . To provide additional trails and access would only increase traffic and bring down property values in Spruce Valley Ranch. |
| | there isn't room for this. It's a small enough area already that would impact the number of cars allowed on the west and only side available to the TOBR residents. Where are you going to expand? |
| | year round access |
| | We would love to have a parking lot to a walking trail. |
| | Restricted/gated |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>A gate would be too cumbersome. Entry is already dangerous, and a gate would make things worse.</p> <p>I need some details regarding restricted entry to be able to assess the desirability.</p> <p>Enforcement of current rules might be the only reasonable option.</p> |
| | <p>Gated and restricted. There are way too many people out there that do not belong. There are fishing guides for hire that also frequent the tarn.</p> |
| | <p>year round access for access to the trail proposed in item 1.</p> |
| | <p>gated with key card access year round if it is safe better / more visible signage to inform non residents of restricted access</p> |
| | <p>I would like to see restricted entry with a gate code.</p> |
| | <p>Something that restricts access to residents and their guests</p> |
| | <p>Goose Pasture Tarn use is a such a wonderful benefit for Blue River residents, but it's currently abused by many others, some of whom have no idea they're breaking a rule. Signage feels inadequate; it's easy to miss that Goose Pasture Tarn is limited to residents only. I would be in favor of gated entry or some other physical means to limit use to residents. It's unrealistic to think our Blue River police can monitor the tarn full time. I would also like to see improvements to the entry/exit road to the tarn, if that's possible. It's steep and near a curve in the highway. I worry that someday there will be a tragic accident, given how busy Highway 9 is. Overall, I love the tarn and hope some improvements can be made.</p> |
| | <p>Gated and restricted to owners, residents and long term renters only (1 year lease or greater).</p> |
| | <p>year round access would be great!</p> |
| | <p>Year round and gated</p> |
| | <p>Year-round, gated access, with keycards for Blue River residents.</p> |
| | <p>Restricted entry</p> |
| | <p>Gated for residence only.</p> |
| | <p>Easier access than off Highway 9 which is a bit dangerous.</p> <p>gated or restricted entry is better, if possible, Still think the boat house on the East side would be perfect, even if required some expansion of parking.</p> <p>Nothing commercial should be considered, ruins the natural state</p> <p>Year round access would be nice but not if its cost prohibited</p> |
| | <p>Unsure because we are not sure how access is regulated now. We have a 2nd home, but unsure of how to prove our ownership and access to the tarn.</p> <p>Restricted access from West side parking lot.</p> |
| | <p>Gated makes sense, non residents use the area daily. A prominent sign at the entrance stating it is private would help, most people don't bother to read the signage once they are parked.</p> <p>Year round access would be great, if there was an option to ice skate in winter I think that would be good, and access to a trail around the lake for both summer and winter.</p> <p>Is there a way to make a trail from the beginning of Blue River Rd to the Tarn?</p> |
| | <p>Restricted access by a gate or permits. Having too many people access that lake would greatly impact the serenity and enjoyment that so many already find there. The town of Breckenridge has already commercialized everything there is no need to commercialize the town of Blue River and the amenities that it offers. It still remains a "peaceful" non-crowded area just minutes from town. Not to mention the Town of Blue River should not be spending money on this when it has some of the worst road infrastructures around.</p> |
| | <p>I think the way we access it currently is fine. But I may have not noticed of the small parking area gets too full? The ramp over in Spruce Valley Ranch would be better, but I expect that board isn't up for all the residents of BlueRiver using it.</p> |
| | <p>Gated or somehow restricted. It would be great if there was trail connectivity from the Blue River Rd neighborhoods so everyone didn't have to drive.</p> |
| | <p>Year round access</p> |
| | <p>restricted gated access if residents could access with code, however, cost is a consideration as this may require fencing, etc. if a continuous trail requires purchasing ROW that potential cost is another consideration as how to pay for it.</p> |
| | <p>Similar to what exists now, but restore the old water level.</p> <p>Year round access, but limited environmental impact. Keep us as natural and beautiful as possible!</p> |
| | <p>Restricted or gated would be nice but our current access for vehicles is in a very dangerous location, steep and hard to navigate.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>Gated would be best - it would free up the local police who have to patrol and kick out visitors.</p> <p>I trail around the tarn would be nice. If it allows residents to be active with walking it would add a nice benefit.</p> <p>Adding more parking seems to be a negative. Concerns are simply taking away the natural feel of the tarn.</p> <p>Any storage there for watercraft would not only distract from the beauty, but has a chance to junk up the look.</p> <p>The tarn is a wonderful natural resource. A little access with a path fits the natural feel and gets people outdoors.</p> |
| | Hiking trail only |
| | restricted access, but a few more amenities like a seasonal restroom! perhaps a few picnic tables |
| | Keypad gated access |
| | A toilet of some sort would go a long way. |
| | Gated to only BR residents. Year round with trail along the south shore connecting to the lower blue river trail that leads to the end of blue river rd. Thus connecting to the blue river trail or up to Coronet to Pennsylvania or to the extension trail. In the winter make use of the river pedestrian easements for cross country skiing allowing for other connections to other areas(Calle de plata/Fredonia). |
| | Year round access and maintenance Restricted entry but not so strict that family members cannot get in and use the tarn. I think owning property in Blue River should give our children and grand children access. |
| | GATED |
| | RESTRICTED ENTRY |
| | Restricted entry with year round access. |
| | restricted entry |
| | Restricted access to residents only. |
| | I like the idea of restricted/ gated access. Entry via card key with annual change of code. |
| | No change |
| | what we have now is fine. ok with limited or no maintenance in winter |
| | Restricted entrance with key solar key pad or combo lock boat house with slip storage and deck Natural trail around tarn |
| | restricted entry |
| | access during winter is not needed |
| | some kind of access control is preferred, I am not sure what seems most feasible |
| | Restricted entry |
| | I like the layout of the tarn parking as it is. The ingress and egress road could use a bit of grading to make it safer, along with a shoulder pull out area. I don't believe it needs a security gate, etc. but just for the police to stop by a couple times each day during peak use to check for stickers. |
| | Key card access raising entry gate would be great with each blue river residence receiving ONE card. If the one card is lost, there will be a fine and then the lost card can be programmed to no longer work and a new card can be reissued. I believe this will limit the amount of short term rental over use. Here's a link for the type of gate I'm referring to. https://www.google.com/aclk?sa=l&ai=DChcSEwjTid3B3v3uAhW07LUKHS19AG8YABAOGgJxbg&sig=AOD64_3zavsL-RjLk9RHI-HF40eCmyBzQA&adurl&ctype=5&ved=2ahUKEwiQ-87B3v3uAhUbp80KHbt7A7QQwg96BAgBEew |
| | I believe owners should have access to the Tarn with a form of ID card. The cards can be issued annually. Restricted entry for owners, family and guests. Please no gates! |
| | I'm in favor of gated, restricted (to residents only) entry. I think year round access would be great if there is a shoreline trail for snowshoeing, cross-country skiing in the winter. I think an access gate where residents are provided a code or perhaps a key card would solve the problem of non-resident access. It would alleviate the burden on law enforcement, and allow them to focus on other issues. |

6. If you don't use the Tarn, why? - Responses

| | Answer |
|--|--|
| | Always forget to get a permit, but want to! |
| | n/a |
| | No reason in particular. I have used it many years ago. |
| | We enjoy the view of the Tarn from our home. Lack of easy access and parking is a Deterrent A walking path would be pleasant. |
| | Too many non residents abusing it! |
| | I would very much like to use a kayak on the tarn regularly in the summers, but it is too difficult to transport each time, so i do not do. Instead i just walk in the area, but would love to also kayak. |
| | Just not into water sports or fishing, etc. Lovely to look at for it's natural beauty. |
| | We just purchased the property in November so haven't had the chance yet. However, we look forward to it! |
| | paddle board |
| | N/A |
| | For the last three or more years, water levels have been too low and inconsistent to easily get boat in and out of the water. Normally, we would fish two or three times a week and also kayak. |
| | See above - we used to use it but now it's just way too crowded with people we've never seen before (and massive groups of 8-10 that are clearly not residents). |
| | Very infrequently at this point, I intend to use it more often once I get a Stand Up Board, and Kayak. |
| | Well we didn't use it last summer on the holidays because there were over a thousand people there. But I'm sure all of those RVs with out of state plates were town residents. difficulty accessing from #9 |
| | During the four years that we've had a home in Blue River, there seems to be concerns (lice infestation) and/or construction plans. |
| | Hard to manage kayak transfer, hence storage would be nice |
| | Haven't had the time |
| | I didn't use the Tarn very much last summer due to the most people I've ever seen there. Never seen so many people there. |
| | Just moved up full time last year and during the summer it is crowded with tourists |
| | Fishing |
| | Not very appealing bc right off hwy 9 loud |
| | Sometimes we don't go because it is crowded with visitors who should not be there |
| | I don't use it in the winter because there is no parking or other public access. |
| | Not really. No place to store a canoe or kayak |
| | I have an inflatable raft I use about twice a year to fish. To pay for a license to do so is ridiculous. Just the principle! |
| | Don't own water boat/paddle board. |
| | Paddleboard and to hang out next to water. Would snow shoe if parking was provided during the winter |
| | Under construction is causing low water levels and no trail access. Highest priority for my family is a bike and walking trail from town hall to the Tarn and then on down into breckenridge. |
| | The only use that interests us (why we marked it as a special use) is viewing the Tarn for its aesthetic scenic values. A quiet mountain water body surrounded by relatively undeveloped shoreline. Many years ago, we would take our raft and inflatable kayak out on the Tarn to experience the quiet waters and the beautiful mountain surroundings. In recent years, current uses tend to be more urban-related recreation toys and noise and does not fit the image of a natural water body in a mountain valley. Also, this unnatural noise can be stressful to aquatic life forms and shoreline ecosystems. |
| | Retarded rules are in place where my tenants can go to the Tarn, but I can't even though I live in my blue river home. |
| | We're new residents and haven't had the opportunity to use the tarn yet. |
| | Use to take path and roads down to the shoreline by the building. Right now it is too muddy and there really isn't a shoreline, hopefully when work is completed the access will return. |
| | I rarely use it, but a trail around it that is pedestrian specific would bring me there more to go running. I bike as well, but would prefer this trail to be used by only pedestrians by use of steps, rocks and tight turns. |
| | Difficulty accessing |
| | Beautiful. Crowded |
| | I don't fish or boat |
| | I use the Tarn. |
| | used it for fishing when it was allowed. Waiting patiently until that time is safe to do so |
| | Don't know where to park or access the tarn. |
| | Recreation |

| | |
|--|--|
| | 1. No hiking trails. |
| | 2. It's too small for exploration with a kayak or canoe. Poor ROI for the effort to transport and launch a boat. Obviously that can't be changed, so I don't think those activities should be supported. |
| | 3. No fish |
| | We are new to the area and haven't figured out how. |
| | I would use the tarn more if the beach were more desirable. It is really an underwhelming experience at the moment when it comes to accessing the tarn. |
| | If there was resident water craft storage and a trail then I would. |
| | Too hard to get to. |
| | fishing |
| | Occasional use for fishing |
| | accessibility |
| | NOT SO ACCESSABLE DUE TO LACK OF PARKING. |
| | We find the boating permits too restrictive for only a small number of times we want to go. |
| | Sometimes we skip the tarn because it's too busy. But we love it as an amenity, and would prefer to have it for residents only. |

7. What activities would you like to see at the Tarn? - Responses

| Answer | |
|---------------|---|
| | Town Party |
| | Fishing Swimming Ice Skating |
| | We like fishing and boating of all types suitable for a body of water that size. |
| | picnic tables and restrooms for summer use |
| | n/a |
| | Restricted boating as is A natural trail around perimeter of tarn |
| | It's a popular place to set up for the day and hang out. Perhaps a better beach area for that so that these groups don't take up the small parking lot. |
| | Boating and fishing |
| | Ice skating in the winter. |
| | Picnic area or floating dock. |
| | A walking path so could walk around it. Would love to have a safe way to walk/bike to town as Route 9 can get scary and is far from pleasant. |
| | fishing, boating |
| | At the very most, I would like to see park benches. I do not want to see commercial activities at the Tarn nor public access. Currently, BR residents can use the tarn to canoe, boat, paddle board, fish, ice skate. The beauty of the Tarn is its natural and scenic landscape, the wildlife and the mountain reflections. In my opinion; a playground, formal parking, signage would spoil that scene. |
| | One the dam is repaired I would like augmented fishing opportunities. |
| | No specific recommendations |
| | Ice Skating in the Winter |
| | Fire pit, BBQ pits and picnic tables. |
| | None |
| | Not in favor of structured activities, would rather it be just casual resident use. maybe a few picnic tables |
| | Fishing, non-motorized boating, community events |
| | Fishing |
| | Nothing changed. |
| | Small rental of canoe/kayak for residents |
| | boating, fishing, dog exercise/swimming, hockey/ice skating |
| | Fishing Paddle boarding Picnic area |
| | Non motorized boating and fishing |
| | Swimming Fishing non-motorized watercraft |

| | |
|--|---|
| | I really enjoy just kayaking on the Tarn and walking around its perimeter where passable but if there were other more organized events -- say a cookout for residents or maybe some movies projected on a screen in the parking lot during the summer -- that could be fun. |
| | fishing, kayaking, paddle-boarding, |
| | ice rink |
| | non motorized watercraft and fishing |
| | Adding a picnic area or shelter could be interesting - it could be reserved or rented for a half-day by residents to have a private gathering and could be a revenue source. Otherwise, we use it for paddlboarding, canoeing, summer fishing, ice-fishing. The ice quality is poor due to frequent slush... but skating/hockey would be nice if it were possible to maintain a section of ice. |
| | All |
| | SUP yoga |
| | Maybe clear a rink area for skating in winter? |
| | Fishing, stand up paddle boarding, non motorized use |
| | Used as it is now for boating and fishing and paddle boarding. |
| | walking, controlled dog walking, kayaking, standup board, canoes. nothing with a motor, fishing, |
| | Groomed cross country skiing Running path around the tarn. |
| | - sup yoga - ice rink - boardwalk near marsh - |
| | Regular weekend events, SUP clinics, fishing clinics and perhaps winter activities (XC on the tarn or ice skating). |
| | No more than we already have: fishing and paddleboarding. |
| | The same as now |
| | Paddle board. Non motorized watercraft (kayak, canoe, row boat) |
| | boating, canoeing, paddleboarding, fishing |
| | Boating/paddle boats Fishing ?hiking if trail available Weather biased to have limited/patrolled area (thank you officers) Know growth is going to happen but hope area can be as quiet as possible. It's a beautiful asset. Weather second home owners since 1979 so lots of (mostly good) change! fishing, paddle boarding, small non-motorized boats. SUP, canoe, kayak. non motorized |
| | None keep native |
| | fishing, swimming, non motorized (paddle boards, canoes, kayaks, floats) |
| | The existing use keeps it quite busy - even with non residents. Winter use might include skating and skiing with the permission of the water owners. |
| | Same as we have in place now for residents except a walking trail around it would be really nice I think. |
| | Water activities Hiking trails Picnic facilities |
| | Fishing, paddle boarding, swimming, canoeing, sailing In winter, fishing and ice skating |
| | Boating hiking fishing |
| | The Tarn is small and lovely in its pristine, natural presence. I do not support the idea of turning it into a tourist spot, and definitely not in support of activities. There are homes all around the Tarn who built and bought there due to the tranquility and privacy of it. Most people invested in Blue River vs Breckenridge to avoid the tourism and congestion around their homes. So, to entertain now the idea of bringing it out to the Tarn is contrary to many. |
| | paddle boats canoes fishing areas picnic area for residents away from highway 9 |
| | fishing |
| | Fishing, paddle boarding, kayaks, canoes. |
| | It seems like there are quite a few possible activities already. |
| | Same as current. |

| | |
|--|--|
| | Picnic Areas/ Shelters, Once allowed - recreational meetings/groups for town residents |
| | SUP - is our primary activity during the summer and we would enjoy more hiking and biking options in the area. |
| | Boating, Fishing summer and winter, SUP, Gate, manage outside people |
| | Leave as is |
| | Boat storage for paddle boards, kayaks, etc Stock with fish for better fishing Winter ice hockey - pond rink |
| | No fee for canoes, kayaks and even paddle boards as it is not required by the state!!!! |
| | Storage for small watercraft and even paddle boards would be amazing. We would also love seeing some picnic tables. |
| | A nature trail around the perimeter/wetlands of the tarn. |
| | year-round fishing, paddle boarding |
| | Canoeing Kayak Paddle boats Campfire |
| | Fishing! Boats Some kind of beach and covered pavilion with bathrooms Picnic tables Trails Better road access and parking |
| | Fishing instruction |
| | Non motor boats owned by residents |
| | Paddle boarding kayaking fishing |
| | Fishing, picnic tables, better parking |
| | None. Simplicity is great! |
| | In the summer my family enjoys fishing and paddle boarding. We are thinking about purchasing a canoe. In the winter, I would LOVE to see cross country skiing, fat bike and/or snowshoe trail around/across the tarn. Also, I'd love to see winter parking access and ice fishing. These activities would be inexpensive. A playground for kids would be nice. |
| | no activities other than allowing the current ones as kayaking, paddle board and fishing. Keep it as is don't destroy by making a disney water place! |
| | A path around the tarn would be nice. Paddle boarding is already an option that I use. |
| | Ice skating |
| | Paddle boarding Fishing Cross country |
| | A pavilion at the tarn would be great |
| | canoeing, paddle boarding |
| | No change from today's rules concerning usage of motors. Is it 5hp electric? Perhaps add a bathroom facility |
| | Paddleboarding canoeing kayaking |
| | Fishing canoe and kayaking hiking |
| | I would prefer any developments that don't distract from the natural beauty of the Tarn. |
| | Fishing, Kayaking, Sailing, Paddleboarding. |
| | fishing, non - motorized boats: canoe and paddle boards as long as the number is limited |
| | Fishing, non-motorized boats, limited to Blue River residents |
| | Leave it as is. |
| | Same use; Good for fishing, SMALL fishing boats, canoeing, paddle boards, picnicking, and day shade tents for family get togethers. NO motor boats. |
| | fishing boating hiking maybe a kids play area or yard games type of area |
| | Bathrooms and a Pavillion |
| | Maybe a few benches and tie up posts for kayaks. |

| | |
|--|---|
| | Minimal. Keep it natural |
| | It would be nice if the repairs could be completed in a more timely manner so we could get the water back. |
| | Kayaking and fishing |
| | Passive, non-motorized, quiet activities; See it as it is; Leave it as it was. Since we do not have anything to add to this answer, here are general comments: This survey includes what seems to be biased questions suggesting that respondents focus on urbanized activities that can diminish the character of a natural mountain environment; unbiased questions would encourage a broader range of responses; there is no question that asks for ideas about inappropriate activities within the Town; the yes/no question responses should allow respondents to explain since some questions are best answered with a conditional yes or no; there are no questions that ask about open space land managed for its natural landscape, native vegetation, wildlife habitat, etc. Open space managed for conservation of a healthy natural environment contributes to quality outdoor recreational experiences. At the very least, there should be an open-ended question #16 to invite additional comments. |
| | picnic tables and covered shelter. Hockey rink or broom ball rink. tarn is used for fishing and paddle boarding by my family and me Fishing, low or no motor vehicle use. Paddle boards, etc. |
| | The ones already in use |
| | This is highly valuable wetland - it is fine with the current use but would not support interfering with existing growth and watershed. We should not be facilitating pedestrian access to these fragile wetlands surrounding the tarn. |
| | fishing SUP non-motorized boating |
| | fishing canoeing paddle board kayak |
| | Local gatherings |
| | Fishing canoeing water boarding |
| | Paddle boarding |
| | SUP, Canoe, trails. non-motorized, swimming |
| | No Change for current use model. |
| | Picnic table Grill |
| | Paddle Boarding Fishing Skating |
| | 1. A trail around the circumference would be great. We hike it now and then, as far as it's possible to go. 2. Ice skating. |
| | Water safety class Maybe SUP/yoga classes Can we fish there? I don't even know. |
| | non-motorized watercraft fishing |
| | I would like to see a pedestrian only path around the tarn. Hopefully with connections to the neighborhood in the south for easy access by foot. I want to be able to jog around the tarn, and I don't want the erosion and smoothing from bikes. I bike personally, but there are plenty of other trails, and a loop is fine to close off to them. Keep the trail rugged and the bikes will stay off. |
| | No motorized: paddling or wind powered only |
| | None |
| | it filled back up with water |
| | Non-motorized boating Paddle boarding Fishing |
| | Boating and fishing |
| | Fishing Non motorized boats |
| | None additional. |
| | No additional |
| | Leave as is. |
| | Nothing additional. |
| | No more than what is currently there. |
| | Fine as it is. |

| | |
|--|---|
| | Paddle board Kayaking Fishing Picnic tables |
| | Fishing and small boat and board activities |
| | More fish |
| | Paddle Boarding, Fly Fishing Classes. |
| | Fishing, boating, paddle boarding. |
| | As is. |
| | None |
| | Paddle boarding Fishing Canoes |
| | The tarn is a precious and sensitive ecosystem with Bald Eagles, Moose and Brown Trout. Over use will threaten this environment and the creatures that call it home. |
| | No activities. I would like to just use the tarn as is. Maybe a port a john or toilet installed would make spending an afternoon there more convenient. |
| | No additional activities. |
| | No additional activities. |
| | Renting paddleboards and kayaks. |
| | None. |
| | Paddle boarding, canoeing, fishing, ice skating in winter add ice skating |
| | No formal activities needed. |
| | Boating, fishing and hiking |
| | the existing activities - fishing, canoeing, non motorized craft and SUP's are all okay as long as it is done legally and by persons authorized to use the Tarn additional parking, trail development, boat storage in the existing limited space is a terrible idea for the Tarn! |
| | Walking/Hiking/Cycling around the tarn in summer and snowshoeing/cross country skiing and fat biking in the winter. Want to see extension of the trail system to connect to this trail around the tarn. |
| | Catch and release fishing; flies and lures only |
| | Keep it native |
| | As now allowed |
| | The current activities, including boating (power boats being limited to small electric motors), kayaking, stand-up paddle boarding, and single hook fishing, are sufficient. |
| | Hiking, Swimming (for our dogs), SUP, Kayak, Fishing. Prefer no motorized activities. |
| | none |
| | We love paddle boarding, canoeing, kayaking, fishing and just hanging out at the Tarn. We have had picnics with friends during the holidays and just spent the day with our family. |
| | Fishing, kayaking and paddle boarding |
| | A walking or bike trail around the lake |
| | Summertime Town Party. |
| | Fishing and limited water craft. No gas engines! |
| | fishing, boating, kayaking |
| | Ice skating would be great. Picnic tables and some bear proof trash cans needed. |
| | As is. |
| | None |
| | I enjoy the access to the tarn just as it is. |
| | I like it the way it is. |
| | Paddle boarding rental storage |
| | I fish there with private access from SVR and would like to see this protected. |
| | Walking trail |
| | none except fishing from the Highway 9 side only |
| | I would like to see picnic tables, possible paddle board rentals, kayak,etc. Make it more user friendly then just the dirt lot. |
| | Paddle Board Yoga, Beach improvements, Picnic Tables, Year Round Access for pond hockey, xc skiing and other winter activities. |
| | Fishing and boating only. No motor boats |
| | Fishing |
| | Boating |
| | I am happy that stand up paddle boarding is now allowed |
| | The Tarn already provides recreation for both fishing as well as stand up paddle boarding, canoeing and kayaking. I don't feel that additional recreational offerings are necessary. |
| | It needs to be more for the wildlife and a buffer from HWY 9. It shouldn't have anymore structures. The boat house is enough. |

| | |
|--|---|
| | no more then already there is. NO motorized allowed except small ones for fishing boats. Only canoes, kayaks, small boats and SUP are allowed. |
| | Stand up Paddleboarding |
| | Natural |
| | 1. Remote control boats. Sailing and power. They are small and don't need a lot of space. 2. A trail around the shoreline. 3. Return to stocking fish |
| | Leave it as is. It is a fragile high lake that has been pristine due to limited use and impact. The more people out there the more trash and permanent damage will result. The amount of use over the last few years has grown tremendously. Everyone in Blue River has a right but the easier you make it, overuse will result and the quality of the experience will be gone. |
| | non motorized boating path mentioned in item one |
| | non motorized water craft - paddle boarding, etc |
| | Fishing, hiking. |
| | Beach! |
| | walking, fishing, canoeing, biking |
| | a more gradual entry to the water that allows smaller kids to walk near the water without risk of slipping and falling down the slope. I don't want to see a Frisco beach scene, but a gradual grade to the water would be a nice improvement. And maybe a bit of sand? |
| | Fishing, non-motorized canoes and small boats, recreation path around tarn that connects to local hiking/biking trails. |
| | Sailing, frisbee golf, ice skating |
| | Just a trail around the Tarn for summer and winter use. |
| | non-motor boats path around Tarn |
| | Sitting areas Picnic tables grills |
| | kayaks paddle boards canoes fishing duck and goose hunting (just a thought) :) Ice skating |
| | Human or wind Powered vessels only. |
| | Ice skating Fishing Boating Cross-country skiing |
| | Stand up paddle boarding, fishing, dog swimming stick throwing exercise. No motorized activity. Sailing. Whatever else I'm not thinking of that isn't motorized. |
| | Nothing more than there is today! it's great that it's not currently over crowded. |
| | no change |
| | No change |
| | Paddle boarding, kayaks, small engineless boats, fishing and hiking. |
| | Some simple picnic tables would be nice. Nothing major, just a place to sit and eat lunch and enjoy the view. |
| | Fishing and paddle crafts in |
| | nothing organized. just fishing, boating, paddling, hiking, relaxing, maybe picnics |
| | Non motorized boats Paddle boarding Fishing Hiking Ice fishing Ice skating |
| | trailfrom blue river rd |
| | Ice skating, ice fishing canoeing fishing paddle boarding picnics with limited structures and maintenance |
| | Access is fine. I don't think activities are necessary but ice skating would be great in winter. |
| | Fishing and non-motorized watercraft |
| | same as current uses (fishing, canoeing paddle boarding kayaking). & walking trails enhanced |
| | Non-motorized |
| | Fishing, nature trails, rec path, rest room, small bbq area |
| | No change |
| | hiking, walking, small beach. Kayak and picnic friendly. |

| | |
|--|--|
| | kayaking paddle boarding fishing |
| | ice skating |
| | fishing boating etc. |
| | Fishing. Water boarding |
| | All unmotorized (with the exception of electric trolling motors) water craft on the lake. Sailing, paddling, fishing, canoe, kayak, etc. |
| | Same as it is now, fishing, paddle boards, picnics, with a path all the way around. |
| | Fishing from shore and row boats. Canoeing, paddle boards. I think it's too small for small sail boats. Motor boats would spoil the serenity! |
| | Keep it AS-IS. The only thing needed is access control / gate. If something must be added, a path from the tarn along the south end. Maybe connect to a trail in Spruce Valley Ranch to allow for extended hikes, or cross-country skiing, snowshoeing, etc. |

8. Any other thoughts on the Tarn? - Responses

| | Answer |
|--|---|
| | Private property owners abutting the tarn already have problems with Tarn use, access and illegal parking. Improvements to parking area off highway 9 would be welcome but not trail. And how would one get across the spillway and through the wetlands, anyway? Please make a plan to restock the fish after it is drained. |
| | It would be nice to have a better driveway and maybe more parking at street level |
| | would be nice to have it dredged to regain original depth for fishing |
| | n/a |
| | No. |
| | Prefer it is kept with its natural beauty without adding much in the way of development, except for a smaller low key simple method of storing canoes, Kayaks, paddle-boards etc.& anything smaller that isn't typically carried on a trailer, preferably in an area that is not too visible so doesn't detract from the setting. As residents using i don't see any need for kiosks or toilet structures etc. |
| | Maybe a public dock where it makes sense? Love the idea of watercraft storage for residents. Perhaps as another revenue stream for the town, watercraft and equipment rental for tourists? |
| | Keep it stocked with tons of fish! Make it incredible fishing for residents. |
| | residents only needs to be better enforced. No parking signs in front of the boat ramp/designated trailer parking. |
| | Would love to see storage for paddleboards or canoes at the tarn. I would be happy to pay for this access so I do not have to haul my items to the Tarn each time. Something as easy as a lock system like at the Frisco Marina would be perfect. |
| | Less is more for any future changes. |
| | Some picnic tables and trees would be a nice addition. |
| | A picnic area . Would like to see cross country skiing, ice fishing, snowshoeing and outdoor skating allowed. |
| | Let's keep it as pristine as possible |
| | Creating a trail around the Tarn open to all TBR residents creates security issues for those who own property there, as well as possible insurance issues. (When bike races use the Blue River/Breckenridge Trail, an insurance indemnification is always required for each homeowner's lot in SVR who has authorized an easement for that trail to pass through. Hopefully the Tarn will soon return to normal water level, be fully stocked and rules will be put in place and enforced for catch and release only. Recreation means just that - the fun and excitement of catching fish and then putting them back for the next sportsman - not taking home dinner that the Town or local resident contributed to for stocking. |
| | Trail access from Blue River Rd would be incredible! |
| | Hate the thought of no access at all next summer....wish there was a way around that even if water levels are low. |
| | Some Porta potty's in the summer would be nice |
| | It is a great amenity and is sought after by home owners. Finding ways to discourage trespassing without police enforcement (gate access) could help especially on weekends. |
| | We'd really like to see enforcement of the rules we already have. |
| | Guess we expressed those above We're sure others have great ideas |
| | Keep it for the wild lift only. That why visitors come to the mountains to get away from the city people. Not to meet more. |

| | |
|--|---|
| | the existing use has grown dramatically over the years with more congestion along the shoreline including private property, The parking lot entry and exit has become dangerous with the 50mph speed limit. Restocking after the dam reconstruction would be nice. |
| | One of the best things unique to Blue River is the Tarn. We must keep it pristine as best we can while allowing access for use with paddle boarding and canoeing and walking only. |
| | The parking area needs to have better definition. It's current amorphous shape leaves a lot of unuseable space and cars parked every which way. A better and sustainable designed space would be an asset to the Town and serve all resident users of the Tarn. |
| | it is most important feature of the town access from east, south and or north sides would be awesome; highway 9 is very distracting |
| | In general, I don't think the Tarn needs a bunch of money thrown at it. We don't need pavilions, we don't need boat storage. I usually hear these ideas from people who are new to town and come from more urban areas where money must grow on trees. Maybe I'm a curmudgeon, but I think the |
| | Please don't turn the Tarn into an attraction. There are too many out-of town folks are are using it illegally. |
| | The things you folks seem to be suggesting are more trails that will invite more people, dogs and bike riders. I've always enjoyed the Tarn and local trails, wildlife. I like the peaceful aura associated with Blue River, but last summer, it seemed like people were everywhere all the time. More people all the time doesn't appeal to me. Highway 9 noise has gotten invasive, and I don't see that changing. |
| | Very special palce and a benefit for blue river owners. Regulate the use of outsiders |
| | Keep it as natural as possible |
| | Try harder to make this an amenity to actual tax paying residents. Currently useless |
| | During spring, summer, and fall there is not a day that we don't walk our dogs to the Tarn. Sometimes twice, lol. We really do love it. |
| | Seems like lots of residents use it just fine the way it is without any needed changes. |
| | Some way to monitor visitor usage that are not full time residents. There were many days last summer that had no available parking and finding out that many visitors should not have been using the tarn. |
| | Leave it. As natural as possible |
| | Be nice to have it be a place to go with trails and more experiences it's such a beautiful setting |
| | Take down sign near dredge in Breckenridge directing people to use the tarn Thank you for taking the time to consider these long-term options. |
| | I like that the Tarn is restricted for resident use only. If Town is looking for money to fund Tarn development I think a usage fee for non-residents would be ok. |
| | Beautiful as is only would love to be able to walk around entire tarn |
| | Stock it with fish |
| | Boat storage. Walking path would be lovely |
| | Continue to keep blue rover residents only usage |
| | Let's take small steps in any developments |
| | Over improvement = overuse and crowding. |
| | it is fine as it is |
| | Make no changes until dam work is completed |
| | why aren't we taking advantage of the draining of the Tarn to deepen the lake. |
| | What is the landowner's desired future condition of the Tarn and surrounding land? Best to leave it as is. Focus on natural features and not how humans can manipulate the place to accommodate what can appear to be individual desires that may not align with the landowner's wishes. Residents should not even be asked about what they want to see on the privately-owned land surrounding the Tarn. Use on private land around the Tarn is for the landowner to decide. The 50 plus years of land in private ownership indicate that the landowner is choosing to conserve a natural mountain environment that promotes healthy vegetation and wildlife habitat while providing water storage capabilities. |
| | No vrbo and air bnb guests allowed! |
| | increased enforcement of non residents is needed |
| | Great place for community when it is back the way it use to be. |
| | Leave it as it is |
| | improve parking potential |
| | Please return water level to the historic level. Consider removing the old decrepit pontoon boat on the SW shoreline. I am 57. It has been there as long as I can remember. |

| | |
|--|--|
| | Restock fish each year |
| | Picnic area? |
| | It's a great asset. Restricting its use to residents is an excellent policy, so let's keep that in place. |
| | I appreciate its minimalist attributes right now. Everything should continue to be carry in/carry out. No trash cans, no picnic tables. |
| | Bring what you need and take it all away again, including garbage and any dog doo doo. |
| | Lets be careful about this.....when we "develop" a waterway/land for recreational use it can lead to overcrowding which leads to trash/maintenance costs/liability. The southern shoreline is a wetland that is very delicate that can support nesting birds/small mammals/etc..... |
| | I would love to see it expanded, at least somewhat, into the marshlands if possible. |
| | leave it how it is. It is nice, private and peaceful around the tarn |
| | I do not want to see encroachment on any private property around the Tarn. Totally fine with people gaining public access from currently (as of 2/9/21) public property. |
| | The tarn is fine as it is right now, no need to alter the animal habitat around it. |
| | No |
| | None other than getting the repairs done quickly and water level restored |
| | It is very nice the way it is now. Do not ruin it. |
| | Keep it as pristine as possible. |
| | Let's keep it uncrowned. |
| | It'd be great to be able to swim! |
| | When will it be completed?? |
| | Love it |
| | Yes, it seems to be taking a very long time to complete the work in order for the Tarn to be full again. |
| | Don't create another mistake for people to feel privileged. |
| | We do not wish to see any additional activity on the Tarn. It is a beautiful natural spot and adding trails, parking, etc would take away from the beauty and tranquility. |
| | Less is more. This is a peaceful place and shouldn't be built up |
| | We paid a premium for privacy and would hope to retain that! |
| | Please leave it alone. |
| | The tarn is a wildlife haven for moose, deer, birds and other wildlife. More access would be detrimental to this region and the many inhabitants. |
| | We do not want more damage to our he water supply. |
| | I'd like it to remain "as-is." It's peaceful and quite, which is just what we need while greater Breckenridge is exploding in growth and population. |
| | not sure if it is policy, but noise restrictions would be good. No loud music. |
| | Just get the tarn problems fixed and restore the water level. |
| | what is the current monitoring of Tarn use? who and how will enforcement be done of people who trespass on private properties along the Tarn as they currently do? |
| | Continue stocking the tarn with various size trout |
| | Maintain as a wildlife refuge |
| | Following completion of the damn work, reinstate the fish stocking program and encourage residents to donate to the stocking effort. It would also be great to dredge the south side at the Blue River entry points while the water level is low during the damn work. |
| | A small, formal beach area would be nice as well as some picnic tables/benches |
| | Would it be possible to upgrade the "beach" to the south so that it is not so muddy and buggy. Perhaps brining in rocks to make it easier to get in and out without losing a shoe/flip flop in the mud. Not to the extent like they did at the Frisco Marina, but they did a great job extending the access to the water and enjoying the beach. |
| | Keep it natural and restricted for residents of BR |
| | Hopefully it will be at full capacity of water soon. |
| | Walking trail would be nice to add all around lake |
| | Allowing public access to the tarn would greatly disrupt the residents who live in homes around the tarn. |
| | Please don't change the current access. Its protecting the waters. |
| | it is surrounded on the east side by private land - NO trail should be going through this area and the Highway side is a bit tight so i think it should be left as is. |
| | Make it more user friendly. Make it so people actually want to use it. Trash cans picnic benches Movie nights in the Summer Have homeowner BBQ nights (once COVID is controlled) Social gatherings |

| | |
|--|--|
| | I love our Tarn and I know we want to keep it natural but I do think adding Trails and Connectivity from the neighborhoods is important. The less we use the car and have to park down there the more space we will have for outdoor hang out, picnics, naturalized areas and trails. |
| | I would like to fish by and below the dam |
| | No. |
| | Too much parking in the HWY side means too many people on the lake. |
| | What about our wonderful habitat that we all LOVE and enjoy - the moose, water fowl, etc....that will be ruined with a lot more folks trudging around our natural habitat. Isn't that one reason we live where we do? Because of our love for the beauty and nature outside our doors. Why would you want to draw more people and commercialize this special area? |
| | It is a nice feature to drive by |
| | Restrict to owners |
| | Better signage on SR 9 indicating where the entry is, to include strong language indicating that this is a restricted access area. That would alert out-of-towners before they went down the hill. |
| | I like that you all are looking at improvements to access it. |
| | We need a balance between adding recreation opportunities, but not opening it to the masses who visit the area. |
| | Should be accessible to those renting in blue river short or long term |
| | I love the Tarn and it is one of the best amenities for Blue River residents. The only problem is the constant use by non-residents. Our law enforcement officers shouldn't have to patrol the parking lot in summer. Access needs to be more tightly controlled. |
| | Fill it up. |
| | Picnic tables would be nice. |
| | An open shelter for gatherings. |
| | Fire pit, for ice skating. |
| | The tarn is great how it is. You commercialize it and make it a destination you are going to have more people visiting and using the amenity illegally and thus you are going to have to have more enforcement. |
| | cost of installation and maintenance of access and trail is potentially an issue because a tax or assessment may be required. |
| | Restore the old shore line and keep it as natural as possible. |
| | Avoid any commercial feel - that is why we would oppose any storage there. Nature first. |
| | We are blessed to have such a wonder spot to enjoy! |
| | needs a restroom in the summer months |
| | needs to be back to its old size! |
| | A couple picnic tables? Some trees? |
| | I |
| | Love the tarn and glad we have it for all to use. |
| | more trees along the north side near highway 9 to block road noise |
| | Connect blue river walking trails to the tarn parking at rt 9 |
| | I like the limited development. Maybe something for families, but not much more. |
| | animal viewing structure that has a deck on top for people to look down on tarn and star gaze from could be included with the boat slip dock and sit on the side where animals go to eat and has steps up to the top deck for viewing and star gazing. |
| | Residents pay a fee to store their kayaks and paddle boards to help fund it. |
| | Bus service addition for tarn and spruce creek to help with parking and reduce vehicle dependencies in Breck and spruce creek. |
| | Trying to figure a way to prevent excessive draw down would be preferred. The bath tub ring is unsightly |
| | Stock for fish |
| | I'm not sure about a perimeter trail, but it would make it more accessible to spread out. |
| | I ask that when the dam project is complete, and the Town considers restocking with fish, please consider stocking with native cutthroat trout. The tarn would make an ideal habitat for native trout. |
| | To elaborate on #9 - parking for recreation below - I believe limited "resident only" parking could be an amenity. Areas might include the Tarn, town hall and town park. This might allow residents who live far enough away or maybe across highway 9 to access trails more easily / safely. |
| 12. What type of restrictions or signage would you like to see? - Responses | |
| Answer | |
| Nothing too large. No lighting above street level. Moderate permissible signage | |

| | |
|--|--|
| | MORE |
| | "Blue River residents only" for town use |
| | 9, 10 and 11 seems to contradict each other. Yes, I think we need parking so they aren't parking on town roads, yes I think trailheads are needed. But also, I think there should be connectivity to the trails. Maybe I don't understand the question or what a "formalized trailhead" means. |
| | Slow speed signage through neighborhoods! Especially in blind spots. |
| | Blue River residents/property owners only. |
| | It has been my experience that some hikers are not respectful of Private property and they also allow their unleashed dogs to come onto private property. The trails are public access and the more access to them, the more people. Our roads cannot support more vehicles. We have an issue with increased traffic speeds on our roads from the trail users. I live on Coronet and I have seen some drivers treating our road as if it is a 4-wheel trail itself. The traffic speed limit is not enforced. Restrictions and signage to this effect would need to be in place. |
| | Customer friendly and non threatening |
| | Would prefer no additional signage directing to walking trails/recreational activities. Instead maybe printed maps at Town Hall residents could access to make more aware of trails. Instead have more signage at the Tarn to explain only for resident use, about parking pass required, maybe more signs on town streets where people park to access trails etc. explaining no parking on town roads. |
| | walk at your own discretion. no motorized vehicles |
| | It is very helpful to have parking to provide families the option to walk the trails with children or elderly who can't always walk as far. |
| | Regarding what? Street parking, the tarn? |
| | no restrictions, except be respectful if a trail goes through private property. |
| | Formal trail heads and parking means more people. It's a tricky situation. I don't like the cars parked on certain roads but at the same time I don't want trail head and parking info published for front rangers. |
| | Town hang tags or stickers, I don't want to see out of town people with trailers parking. Would like to see some spots for others too that are not from town so others can enjoy. Would really like bike paths to connect places so biking and walking is easier to access trailheads. |
| | Not sure what type of restrictions or signage is needed, but whatever is deemed necessary should be minimal, artistic, and aesthetically pleasing |
| | TBR residents only |
| | Hours of use |
| | What are the specific trail heads you are speaking about that are located within in the town? Are they owned by the town or are the national forest trail heads located within in city limit, because those are very different things and have many different rules depending on who operates the permit. |
| | Limited capacity parking areas near trails and fishing access enforced by LE. |
| | It seems a resident parking pass is an option... and if you construct other public parking lots... you could use the drop-box payment option, and/or a digital kiosk, for public to pay and park. |
| | This is probably selfish but we already get so much traffic and street parking as is, I would hate to see our neighborhood turn into a zoo like near the trailhead for spruce creek (trailhead parking leads to more illegal street parking because the trail gets busier). |
| | Continued signage such as the TOB uses for trail intersections and trailheads |
| | I'm not a full time resident, however my daughter lives in BR full time. And, I enjoy in the summer mostly. So I may not understand how this could impact based on how many people might use Recreation. I wouldn't want to see parking areas in small neighborhoods that would create a problem for the residents. |
| | We need more parking at the Pennsylvania gulch and Fredonia gulch trail heads. Or maybe parking for residents only with a some kind of sticker? Also access to Indiana Creek Trail head at the back of Spruce Valley Ranch would be nice? |
| | - clean up after pets |
| | Warn of private property at trailheads so people are aware it may not be public. |
| | We don't want any MORE parking to encourage MORE people to flood our trails. If there's no room to park at the trailhead, there's no room on the trail. IT'S NOT BRECKENRIDGE! We'd love to see connectivity within the town so that our own residents can get back and forth to the various trails without having to use their cars. |
| | no |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>We like to hike Pennsylvania creek trail/road and the note to find ways not to park up there was very limiting. Just example of more use coming. Hard to restrict access to public area.</p> <p>If there are designated parking someone would need to supervise.</p> <p>Use of vehicle tags either stickers or temporary ID materials may help but if there are really good trail network it will attract folks.</p> <p>Some way to allow bicycles on 9 (NOT US!!!) with wider road would be nice.</p> |
| | <p>We need to have the ability to mountain bike or hike into Breckenridge without using Hwy 9. No overnight parking camping. Less restrictions and more open access between trails, Tarn, and Blue River neighborhoods</p> |
| | <p>None</p> |
| | <p>"Pack out pet waste" ToB and the county have great signs at trailheads. "No street parking" Residential parking only will be tough. The overloading this past summer and winter at Spruce Creek TH, McCullough TH, Quandary will be a challenge to manage. (I know that these are not ToBR TH's but are a good indication of what to expect)</p> |
| | <p>The current signage at the Tarn is an eyesore. Any signage that is necessary should be designed in accordance with the Town standards (To be defined?). Think National Park Service signage: Consistent shape and colors, well-placed.</p> |
| | <p>I would like to limit access for residents outside town as it would create parking issues.</p> |
| | <p>SLOW DOWN. Many of the homes that are rented to LOTS of folks have a lot of speeders that can create chaos on our streets with kids and pets</p> |
| | <p>Visibility to the trails, legal access without trespassers going through private properties, vehicles parking on roads, bikers using said trails, etc all need to be laid out with confidence, clarity and enforcement before I can support trailheads and connectivity. We already have too many people hiking and driving through our covenant controlled neighborhood who have complete disregard for owners and no parking. Most plea that they are short term renters or visitors and "didn't know" but they still trespass and ignore property limits and proceed to trespass to access forested areas above the neighborhood.</p> |
| | <p>no motor bikes, ATV's, etc</p> <p>no bicycles</p> <p>dogs on leash enforced</p> <p>picking up dog poop enforced</p> |
| | <p>Most signs are wishful thinking. If you could put up a sign that magically stopped people from leaving dog poop bags everywhere, I'd want one every 50 feet. Unfortunately, that's not how it works. That said, judiciously applied signage can be effective, i.e. a single, clearly worded sign placed at the entry to the Tarn parking about it being resident-only. If town trailheads are resident-only, then I would support limited clear signage to that effect.</p> <p>I enjoy the Town Limits signs but most public signage is ugly and ineffective. I'd be happy with as little of it as possible. If my elderly parents can use smartphones to find trailheads, pretty much anyone can.</p> |
| | <p>I would like to see a bike path from Breckenridge to Hoosier Pass.</p> |
| | <p>Clearly identifiable areas with permitted use as well as notification of fines for violating use (as in non residents using the tarn for water recreations, etc.)</p> |
| | <p>Gate for residents. Trail signage is nice but how do we keep it exclusive to residents? More signage may encourage residents outside of blue river to access the tarn without having to park at the designated area.</p> |
| | <p>Opening more trailheads just increase more people destroying our community.</p> |
| | <p>No parking on roads</p> <p>No overnight parking</p> <p>Clear notices of fire restrictions</p> |
| | <p>I think larger signage at the Tarn, would be more advantageous to the community.</p> |
| | <p>Keep the signage to a minimum. There should be signage on the way to trail heads about what to do if you see an illegal camp fire. That is probably the biggest threat to our community.</p> |
| | <p>Clearly marked private trails, property and full time residents only. Also ticketing violators</p> |
| | <p>Requesting hikers to stay on public land and not cut through by using private land.</p> |
| | <p>Not much</p> |
| | <p>I think we don't thought thru signs are helpful like the trail to town we don't want people getting lost</p> |
| | <p>If there is no parking, there should be signage. Don't just assume. Better parking for Indiana and Pennsylvania Creeks, and Fredonia.</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | The Spruce Creek trailhead is an increasing issue. We understand the trailhead sits within the County, but the access is through the town. Spruce Creek Road is a highly trafficked and excessively sped on dirt road. This is not an issue for the police force (not a long-term solution). The MASSIVE amount of vehicles parking along town and county streets will become an issue that will soon implode. Since we will likely never combat the number of users at the trailhead, can we take steps to petition for speed bumps, to mitigate road conditions, speeding, dust and safety? |
| | Free for residents and paid access for non-residents. |
| | Little to no signage is better so that Town funded recreation activities are not overwhelmed by tourists. |
| | simple signs painted to "match" environment Keep it for Blue River residents only, if you open it up to others, it will get over used and its beauty will deteriorate. |
| | Make sure the signage is consistent |
| | Minimal |
| | No motor vehicles |
| | I like keeping our resources available to local residents. |
| | A map of recreation on our town website. |
| | Parking on "unknown" trailheads is already crowding. The parking on Fredonia Gulch Road by the sewer plant was up about 400% this summer. I don't want a Quandary situation here. The traffic is bad enough already. I can't even get up Spruce Creek in the summer anymore. |
| | It would be very difficult to limit non-residents to trails and paths within the town. The same is also true of designated resident parking areas. Unless there were very frequent patrols, non-residents would take most of any developed spots |
| | Historical signs |
| | Very limited signage. Not interested in making the Town of Blue River a tourist trap. |
| | The same that Fed and State trail usage signs reminding everyone going off trail means you are most likely going to be trespassing on private property. Fire signs, leave no trace ethics. Actual fines and usage restrictions for violators. Some humorous signs 'Just as we would never dream of letting our family member go to the bathroom on your lawn, thanks for extending us the same courtesy! Humans please pick up after your dog, thank you! Dogs Wruff ruff. Good dog! |
| | i would like to see half of the spots at each trailhead designated to the town of blue river residents. and yes there are many trails that could be connected if the us forest service would allow. there is a one resident that is preventing most of this and works for the forest service. hopefully he will retire soon |
| | Slower traffic on spruce creek please. |
| | Not sure but would be good if this parking could also serve the bus into Breckenridge. |
| | Minimal signage for parking- Designated parking areas must be compatible with the residential neighborhood, natural environment, appropriately sized, & located relative to the recreational activities/uses that are appropriate & who is the intended user. Signage for trails- Only minimal signing (4x4 wooden post or carsonite posts) to identify trail routes & permissible uses, similar to USFS, Summit County & Town of Breckenridge way finding system. This minimal signage will help significantly to keep residents on authorized trails including connectivity trails using the platted easements & to discourage trespassing. Note: Trail connectivity/walkability already exists in the platted pedestrian, River access & road easements. The Town needs to thoroughly research its jurisdictional role in the current & historic platted easements dedicated to the Town lot owners. There is no need to create new routes/trails for connectivity within town; the system already exists in the recorded plats. |
| | Speed limit is 50 mph not the Texas 35mph we all see. NO stopping for moose. Don't really want to see signs! They are a form of pollution. How about some cell towers so people's navigation will work and signs won't be needed. AT&t or Verizon should pay the town put a tower near the pass pointing down valley. |
| | Please respect private property |
| | Stay off private property |
| | no motorized vehicles |
| | name of trail |
| | use by residents only |
| | Private property |
| | Stay the trail No camping next to the trail or near private property Leave no Trace no camping next to riparian area (300 feet) |

| | |
|--|--|
| | Signage with trail description, map and parking regulations. |
| | Minimal Signage |
| | No parking in front of residential property |
| | I don't understand question 11. How would connectivity eliminate the need for trailheads? |
| | Residents only and no overnight parking. |
| | Not familiar enough with the "parking" issue. |
| | We need as much parking as we can get, but it doesn't have to be advertised. The people who live here know where the trails are, they just need a place to park. Save money and don't put up any signs. More interconnecting trails would help, but only in places where the only other option is Highway 9. We need to avoid the Quandary parking lot situation, don't advertise to tourists with trailhead signs. |
| | No trespassing |
| | Only signage that keeps hikers on the actual trail. People are constantly lost at the lower McCullough Gulch trail and wandering everywhere. |
| | Restrict motorized vehicles on trails. |
| | The less we leave our marks on nature the better as far as land concerned. ie signs , parking lots, formal parks etc. |
| | SVR residents only. |
| | None additional. |
| | Minimal |
| | Natural looking - complement the environment. |
| | Let's not create a situation where signage is necessary. |
| | No motorized vehicles on trails |
| | Private like it always has been |
| | That is why people have bought property there |
| | Trailheads need to be designated in cooperation with the USFS. People feel entitled to park anywhere to use trails. |
| | The current levels of restrictions on access to the tarn and the shoreline are perfect. Please leave them alone. |
| | No signage |
| | There is no need for further signage. |
| | None |
| | motorized vehicle restrictions. |
| | More clarity on public v. private trails. Some trails that appear to be public may cross private lands and no trespassing signs are present. Example--the map at the McCullough Gulch Trailhead shows the Blue River Trail running from Calle de Plata to Pennsylvania Creek. But there are multiple No Trespassing signs on that trail. In reviewing the GIS maps, it does appear that the trail crosses private property. |
| | A comprehensive trail map of the area would be a great resource for residents (we are fairly new to BR, so we are still finding our way around, hence my comment). |
| | Restricted to residents only. |
| | Town/Police orders on parking/enforcement. We run the risk of being overrun by visitors, making it a place that's less desirable/livable for residents. |
| | parking is a huge issue with non resident people illegally parking in ToBR and although the trails in Summit County are awesome and well used (especially this covid year) there are a whole lot of people who use the trails and disregard rules - if anything more signage and doggie bag stations so people will pick up after their dogs! people have a total disregard for the existing trails that abut private residences and feel entitled to park wherever they choose - better/more enforcement is currently needed - expanding use will only increase the need |
| | Good ones |
| | "No Parking" signs where trail users tend to create their own parking areas. |
| | less signs |
| | Formalized trailheads don't seem necessary to me. However, parking is a huge problem for certain trails, so parking needs to be evaluated. Trail connectivity and better signage (like they have a Barney Ford or Sally Barber trails) would really help so people can park along other areas of a trail to access. |
| | For question 11 ... ref. questions 9 & 10 - I would support both residential parking areas for access to recreation opportunities AND more parking at formalized trailheads located within the Town as well as trail connectivity/walkability to/from trails. |
| | None |
| | Keep the current restricted access |
| | none |
| | pack it in pack it out. |
| | Better signage that it is for residents of the town of Blue River only. |

| | |
|--|---|
| | I would like to see parking restrictions and better signage of those restrictions. I would like to see some wayfinding signage through the neighborhoods so people can easily navigate around. If we do install a trail system through the neighborhoods of town I would like to see simplistic trail markers so people feel confident getting from point A to point B. |
| | Not sure what the options would be |
| | No one would read it or pay attention to signage. |
| | Few signs |
| | Are the police currently checking to make sure ONLY TOBR residents are using the Tarn? This is already a problem with SUP, fisherman, etc landing on PRIVATE homeowner's property. And that has NOT been enforced to date....and to make it more accessible to other folks is a TERRIBLE idea. And when you tell these folks they are trespassing, they get an attitude and say they have been doing this for years and are TOBR residents. There have also even been people setting up their tents to camp on private property. This is not acceptable and with adding more recreation, this will only get much worse. |
| | clear and useful signage. |
| | Standard Parks and Wildlife trail head signage along with maps and trail ratings. |
| | Br owner use |
| | Restricted parking at trailheads is of little value if there is no enforcement. Restrictions are a double-edged sword. Favoring residents could lead to a back-lash from non-residents regarding access to trails. They might also complicate any negotiations with neighboring towns like Breck, Summit and Park County and possibly opportunities for funding from State and Federal government. We will need the NFS to cooperate in order to get any practical connectivity. |
| | because we are not familiar with trails in BR - parking would be nice and mapping signage |
| | Minimal signage, if any signs, have them small and blend in with environment. |
| | I think just general connectivity using trails would be a huge benefit in Blue River. Right now it feels like there is great access to wonderful places, but it feels disjointed. |
| | Local residents only with permit displayed. All others will be towed at owner's expense. |
| | Make a bike only lane |
| | Signs, one every 300 yards along the first 1000 yards of each trail along pedestrian easements, such as the North and South boundaries of Timber Creek Estates, stating "Keep on trail-No trespassing on private property-Subject to \$500 fines for each occurrence" |
| | Signage at Tarn access points " Private- For Town Residents only" |
| | More Wildlife Crossing signs, slow down |
| | Town Hall sign, no one can see its the town hall nowadays |
| | "Only Chain Up Station is on South Side of Hoosier Pass" , I know, I know |
| | Once again the more you advertise the trails/parking the more they will get used and the enjoyment level will go down. If you had to do something maybe signage for parking so people understand where they can and can't park. |
| | No overflow parking onto town streets is basically it I think. |
| | We need to limit the number of cars over-parking and crowding the trails. Let's keep good access for residents and not invite all of Denver into our neighborhoods. |
| | Residents only signs limited due to potential costs involved |
| | Many of our roads are too narrow with blind curves and can not safely accommodate additional traffic generated by designated parking areas. I.E. Spruce Creek Needs to be clearer as residents only. Signs are a little dated and need to be more clear. Very little Blue River residents only No trailheads. Trails to help connect neighborhoods to each other and to existing trails to National Forest KEEP OUT |
| | The fewer the better |
| | Minimal. Least intrusive. |
| | Only tasteful and fits town theme nothing obtuse or tacky and most importantly clear direction |
| | Somehow we need to keep the number of non-residents using in-town trailheads to reasonable numbers. Having the C of C in Breck telling visitors to go to certain county trailheads has overloaded areas. |
| | None |

| | |
|--|--|
| | Do not support "social" trails. I have spoken to my neighbors who would be required to allow access and they do not want people in their yards. I support their right to private property and also fear that folks, not from Blue River and not having our best interest in mind, may be attracted here. |
| | I'm not sure what is being planned here. 9, 10 and 11). I don't like formalized parking. Wouldn't the residents of the areas in question have major issues with possible traffic, signage and trespass? It would be nice to know where the trails are. |
| | I think that what we've always had in town has worked great up to this point. In out time in Blue River, there has been an understanding that the trails and connection points within neighborhood subdivisions are for residents only. The understanding has included that as residents and neighbors, we would mutually respect the private property while crossing through. The only reason I see a need for change / town involvement (via public easement purchases), is to ensure access through town and onto adjacent public lands. Without proper easements, the long standing "understanding" of passing through private properties could be lost if / when properties are sold - and new owners no longer allow for a shared use of the existing pathways. As for signs, I would not be in favor of "advertising" locations of trails. But perhaps if the trails committee deems the need, I could see small scale signage to show the type of allowed use and location of a portal (entry point). |

14. If not, what type of recreational opportunities would you like the Town to pursue? - Responses

| Answer | |
|---------------|---|
| | Easier access to hiking trails. Snowmobile tracks if possible. Mountain biking would be nice. |
| | n/a |
| | Recreational activities in Blue River are fantastic, but more singletrack would be beneficial for residents |
| | Don't think the town needs to increase access or options. Plenty exist in surrounding areas. |
| | sledding hill, kids playground, after school kids activities such as dance, soccer, nordic skiing, rock climbing. |
| | Bike path from Breckenridge to Hoosier. Highway 9 is DO dangerous these days and people jog, walk, bike, etc. along the narrow edge. A bike path would be great for safety purposes but also would promote biking to work or town without the need to be on the highway or on single track. |
| | Not sure what would be possible but any kind of additional facilities related to recreation would be a nice addition. |
| | More bike trails especially little kid friendly, pump track and community garden. |
| | A safe bike path to get to town. A bus stop at the Tarn (year round). This would eliminate all the cars stopping and make it easier to get to town. Currently we have zero safe ways to get to skiing or summer activities in town. |
| | more trails/trailheads/connections |
| | Dedicated bike paths that connect into Breckenridge. |
| | I'm not even sure what the trail situation is. it would be great to have a map for residents. |
| | There is no need for the town to spend any tax dollars for this purpose |
| | Not sure how to answer. Public parks, playgrounds, walking trails and the like. However, I am not sure where or how you can develop these in Blue River. A community recreation center that would provide for both indoor and outside sports activities would have its advantages in supporting both old and young and encourage a community feeling. |
| | complete a bike path into Breck other than hwy 9 |
| | I'm not sure as we are new residents, but as of now, Blue River is not thought of as a highly recreational area. We purchased for our property's proximity to Breckenridge, but it would be wonderful to have more to do in the Town as well. |
| | I would like to see a trss as ll at tarn and in marsh that is relatively flat which would be good for cross-country skiing |
| | Bike path that connects to Breck |
| | Space on Highway 9 or a trail to walk or ride bikes. Similar to trail Breck has running down to Frisco. |
| | Xcountry skiing and snow shoeing areas. Dog parks. Bike path to. Breck to connect from the pass to town to breck. |
| | Mountain Biking Cross-country skiing |
| | I think Blue River is doing a really good job. As with anything, there's always room for some enhancements. For instance, I would enjoy a disc golf course. |
| | The mountains and environment create their own opportunities. |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>I support the idea of improving the all around infrastructure of the tarn; the parking, signage, year round accessibility and the addition of a trails and trail heads.</p> <p>But overall this survey is poorly worded and laid out, regardless of responses you will still be left with unanswered questions and no real discretion on where town funds should be spent. For example the questions "Would you support trail connectivity/walkability to eliminate the need for trailheads and designated parking within the town?" Well yes, connectivity is the propose of trail systems, but ultimately some users will need a place to park you can't have access from each neighborhood.</p> |
| | <p>more trails would be nice, especially along highway 9</p> |
| | <p>The lack of parking does not allow for access to many trails or fishing if you don't live within walking access.</p> |
| | <p>connected trails</p> |
| | <p>Yes, the natural offerings preserve the town. If there were a cross-country ski trail, we would use that, or an accessible sledding area.</p> |
| | <p>More trail connections!</p> |
| | <p>More trails! Better access. We moved from the Wellington and the things we miss the most are being able to jump right on trail from our door and bike path access</p> |
| | <p>Bike path from Town-hall to town</p> |
| | <p>paved trail to town with fence from the highway</p> |
| | <p>town trail to the Myla Rose</p> |
| | <p>See comments above about Tarn use.</p> |
| | <p>None additional</p> |
| | <p>snowshoe and cross country ski trails, snowmobile trails, more parking stops and bus routes into Breck.</p> |
| | <p>Mountain biking access to trails and to Breckenridge without use of hwy 9. Trailhead connectivity. Cross country skiing, Snow shoeing, hiking around Tarn...connecting to other currently available and new trails.</p> |
| | <p>Love the idea of a trail around the tarn.</p> |
| | <p>More bike/foot traffic connections that are safe. (Not just on the side of highway 9 as it is right now). More trails open for residents to hike on but not advertised to the whole county in the paper etc.</p> |
| | <p>It would be amazing to create a pedestal walk along the Blue River to access Breck</p> |
| | <p>better playground, park with picnic tables and gazebo</p> |
| | <p>bike/hiking path along highway 9 from crown into town</p> |
| | <p>wading in tarn</p> |
| | <p>trail maps for BR trails</p> |
| | <p>I support the work of the Trails Committee to pursue connections and enhancements of the existing trail network. I also support partnering with USFS, ToB and others on fixing problems with adjacent lands, trails and trailheads.</p> |
| | <p>See above.</p> |
| | <p>Dog park, dedicated hiking and biking trails. Bike access to Breckenridge via a trail system from the town hall would be a nice edition.</p> |
| | <p>Almost none, except for a nature trail around the tarn perimeter. We're a very small community. The recreational opportunities you pursue will likely be ones that numerous non-Blue River residents learn to use, not us. There is already so much to do... Let's leave Blue River as wild and pristine as possible.</p> <p>Where fire breaks are established in our community, let's plant loads of aspens. Let's consider emphasizing supporting aspens where they are already established and are being smothered by conifers in the forest succession cycle. Fires bring back the opportunity for sunlight to aspen.</p> <p>Aspen stands make for great foliage viewing in the fall.</p> |
| | <p>Ice skating Better facilities at the tarn Better hiking access with some parking for residents Parking near the buss stops Bike path to Breck!!!!!!!</p> |
| | <p>Connect the rev path between Breck and Almat ft</p> |
| | <p>Privacy is the key to ownership. Keep it residential and quiet. It's hot for tourists but families Families of 3 to 4 generations. If you want the tourist thing go to town and buy a ticket. Also, I force the parking rules.</p> |
| | <p>Sledding park more hiking trails walkability/bikability</p> |
| | <p>I would LOVE to see a paved recreation path that connects to Breckenridge. In the summer it could be used for running, biking and commuting to work. In the winter it could be used for cross country skiing, snowshoeing and fat tire biking. A recreation path would also get cyclist off of Hwy 9 which is hazardous.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>Plenty of recreational opportunities, but trailheads are becoming over-crowded and parking on roads such as near spruce creek is becoming a hazard. Say an emergency vehicle needed to get in there?! With cars parked on both sides of the road that isn't happening.</p> |
| | <p>More access to trails. More parking. Better access and maintenance on trails Keep it natural, fishing hiking We are surrounded by millions of acres of forests, rivers and lakes. That's why we live here. That's enough. Bike path throughout Blue River</p> |
| | <p>More local trails. Paved bike route to town.</p> |
| | <p>there are many trails in the area that can be used for walking, snow shoe , cross country skiing, etc There is no need to develop more.</p> |
| | <p>Bike path into Breckenridge</p> |
| | <p>Additional activities for kids such as a skate park, large playground area, pump track for bikes, etc would be great</p> |
| | <p>i think the town needs more trail connections and right of ways for existing trails and to protect these from greedy land owners who should allow trails that have existed to continue to exist. also new trails to connect many of these and more opportunities to make new trails in the US forest surrounding blue river.</p> |
| | <p>Bike and walking trail or path from town hall to the Tarn and then on into Breckenridge.</p> |
| | <p>Focus recreational opportunities on appreciation of & respect for the natural ecosystem, not urban amenities. 1) An interconnective trail system in Town and that provides access to National Forest; Town needs to ensure lot owners' rights of existing platted easements are legally asserted. 2) Night sky viewing/stargazing from anywhere by implementing outdoor lighting practices that eliminate & minimize light pollution in Town. 3) Experience quiet time on trails, Blue River corridor & open space areas. Related opportunities: 1) Acquire & manage open space lands without human-built features for conservation purposes, i.e. promote native vegetation & wildlife habitat; 2) Encourage private landowners to consider conservation easements for open space values; 3) Open space without human-built features ensures quality recreational experiences within a natural mountain environment; 4) Non-motorized trail uses only; 5) Where practical consider separation of conflicting uses on trails.</p> |
| | <p>ski resort More commercial restaurants or bars to generate tax revenue and not have to go to Breck to eat. The recreational opportunities TOBR has are primarily unimproved nature. Keep it that way. bike path/walking path paved Rec path Better signage to trail. We live on Louise Placer Road and we get more than a dozen cars a day that are lost trying to get to the Spruce Creek Trailhead. rec path into breckenridge On parking- I am not really in favor of more parking at trailheads but would like to see more parking near bus stops. If possible, ice skating on the Tarn. The limit is the parking (we were ticketed on Blue River road for being on a 1 hour hike) and connectivity</p> |
| | <p>It is obviously great here compared to practically anywhere else, but with a little effort it could be much better. A bit of trail maintenance could make the connecting trail to breckenridge safer. At the moment I wouldn't take my dad on it with bikes because of just a few schetchy places due to erosion. Also riding on hwy 9 is no fun, so more connecting trails would be great.</p> |
| | <p>A snow hill for children to sled.</p> |
| | <p>Free ones.</p> |
| | <p>Playground</p> |
| | <p>There are plenty of outdoor sports in and around Breckenridge We already have plenty of hiking and biking trails. If you put signs of new trails it will attract too many tourists. Have you hiked a fourteener lately??? Too many people because of the signs and tourists.</p> |
| | <p>None</p> |
| | <p>A safe bike path to Breck so we can bike, run, walk and not drive into town.</p> |
| | <p>full train connectivity to Breck, and ultimately over Hoosier Pass. Could be designated bike lane, as protected lanes or trails are likely a significant challenge.</p> |
| | <p>Limited opening of additional trails for mountain bikes/hiking (non-motorized). My wife and I own motobreck, so I'm particularly sensitive to the impact of motorized sports. Our narrow valley would have a terrible echo if motorized access was allowed.</p> |
| | <p>More trails</p> |

More trails that are not extreme/difficult for younger families and disabled would be nice. Its hard to find a moderate trail in our area and we have a child with disabilities and cannot participate in the more difficult hikes.
There needs to be bike access to Breckenridge

| | |
|--|---|
| | See replies relative to both the Tarn & trails/trailheads. |
| | Safe walking and biking trails along Highway 9 and streets leading to trailheads. |
| | Bike path along Hwy 9 maybe |
| | I think we are good.. unless we can add more fun secret trails like the Blue River Trail. |
| | NOT at the Tarn. Find somewhere else that does not infringe on our natural habitat/wildlife and people's private property. |
| | I have no idea what is even available. It would be so nice to have a trail map with parking and trail heads marked. |
| | it would be nice to have some more warm temperature activities - tennis courts, pickleball courts, basketball, playground, picnic areas People like BR because it is off the beaten path, but activities seemed to be limited to hiking, though they are not always easy to access - simple walking trails would be nice too |
| | Not sure since we are fairly new. |
| | Trails that connect the north end of the town to the south end, as well as a trail that connects up to the lower McCullough Gulch trailhead by the Myla Rose. |
| | Path around the tarn, some benches, a few metal picnic tables |
| | bike path along route 9, more trails |
| | Frisbee golf, bike lane, rec path to alma, canoe storage at tarn, ice skating, Nordic center, rope pull, development of small commercial area |
| | Walking and biking paths along river and tarn, including to Breckenridge. |
| | Hiking/snowshoeing/cross country skiing trails. |
| | I would like to see more trails with the connectivity mentioned above. |
| | Trails and access to them. |
| | Parking to access Indiana Creek |
| | More bike trails |
| | More designated trail heads. A safe shoulder for bike riding on HWY 9 would be great. |
| | more access to hiking and walking trails, |
| | Need biking path to connect to Breckenridge! there needs to be a safe way to get to around even to other parts of blueriver without use of a car. |
| | A walkway along the river into town |
| | More trailheads. |
| | City park has skateboarding and community pool |
| | I would like more access to trails |
| | Working with the Co Dept of Transportation to improve highway shoulders and even develop a bike lane or path would be great! |
| | Have to think about this one. |
| | A rec path, mainly for the bus riders. It's scary to see people walking down the highway to get to the bus stop but don't get me wrong, I love that we have a the bus route. |
| | None. |
| | I already responded to the survey, but wanted to add. The town should pursue proper easements to ensure resident access to the entire river easement. The residents have been using this easement since the town was first developed. It would be a huge loss if we couldn't continue to access the entire river stretch thru town. |